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Congressional Request

- PL 107-368 Section 18 (b), “study shall build on the Academy’s work on gender differences in the careers of doctoral scientists & engineers and examine issues such as faculty hiring, promotion, tenure, and allocation of resources including laboratory space.” National Science Foundation funded the study.
- Resulted from 2002 hearings on Title IX with respect to mathematics, science, and engineering education held by Senator Ron Wyden (D-OR), then chair of the Subcommittee on Science, Technology and Space.
Characteristics of Survey

- Committee conducted two national surveys in 2004 & 2005
- **Snapshot in time, not a longitudinal view.**
- Six disciplines: biology, chemistry, civil engineering, electrical engineering, mathematics, and physics.
- Surveyed 89 major research universities, referred to as Research Intensive (RI) institutions.
  - 500 departments (85% response rate)
  - 1,800 faculty (73% response rate)
- Only full-time, regularly appointed tenure-track professorial faculty
- Focus on Critical Transitions:
  - Hiring
  - Promotion (tenure, full professor)
  - Resources
  - Some data on climate & outcomes
Overall Finding - 1
Representation

• Although women represent an increasing share of science, mathematics, and engineering faculty, they continue to be underrepresented in S&E disciplines.
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Overall Finding - 2

Transitions

• For the most part, men and women faculty in science, engineering, & mathematics have enjoyed comparable opportunities within the university, and gender does not appear to have been a significant factor in a number of important career transitions and outcomes at the time of our study.
Findings #1-2
Hiring

• The proportion of women invited to interview for tenure-track positions was higher than the percentage of women applicants

• The proportion of women who received the first job offer was higher than the percentage who were invited to interview
Finding #3
Hiring

BUT:
• In each of the six disciplines, the proportion of applications from women for tenure-track positions was lower than the percentage of PhDs awarded to women
Finding #4

Hiring

- Most institutional & departmental strategies proposed for increasing the proportion of women in the applicant pool were not strong predictors of the percentage of women applying.

- The proportion of females on the search committee and whether a woman chaired the committee were both significantly and positively associated with the proportion of women in the applicant pool.

- Almost two-thirds of the departments in our sample reporting they took either no steps or 1 step designed to increase the gender diversity of the applicant pool.
Finding #5
Professional Experience

- Male & female faculty have similar access to many kinds of institutional resources and similar professional lives
- Similar proportions of their time on teaching (41% M vs. 43% F), research, & service
- Comparable access to most institutional resources (start-up packages, initial reduced teaching loads, travel funds, summer salary, supervision of research assistants & postdocs).
- At first glance, men seemed to have more lab space than women, but this difference disappeared once other factors such as discipline & faculty rank were accounted for
Finding #6
Professional Experience

- Women (tenure track) were more likely to have mentors than men (57 % F vs. 49% M).

- No differences between male & female faculty in chairing committees (39% M vs. 34 % F) and being part of a research team (62 % M vs. 65 % F).
Finding #6 (cont’d)

Professional Experience

• No difference in reports of discussions with colleagues on teaching, funding, interaction with administration, & personal life

• Women less likely to engage in collegial conversation on professional topics, including research, salary, & benefits (also interaction with other faculty & departmental climate)
Finding #7
Professional Experience

• Men & women had comparable outcomes on most key measures (publications, grant funding, nominations for honors and awards, salary, & offers of positions in other institutions).
• Little or no significant difference in refereed publications between men (13.9 publications) & women (12.8 publications)
Finding #7 (cont’d)
Professional Experience

• Comparable probability for having grant funding
• Female assistant professors with mentors had a higher probability (93%) of having a grant than those without mentor (68%)
• Men with no mentor had an 86% probability of having grant funding versus 83% for those with mentors.
Finding #8
Promotion to Tenure

- Proportion of women candidates for tenure was smaller than the proportion of female assistant professors (discrepancy largest in biology & chemistry)
- Possible explanations: (i) women assistant professors more likely to leave before being considered for tenure (ii) reflects increased hiring of women assistant professors in recent years
Finding #8 (cont’d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2004-2005</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Women:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asst Prof</td>
<td>Up for Tenure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biology</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Finding #9
Promotion to Tenure

- Women were tenured at the same or a higher rate than men (an overall average of 92% for women and 87% for men).
- Women were more likely to be promoted when there was a smaller proportion of females among the tenure-track faculty.
- Discipline, stop-the-clock policies had no effect on the probability of a positive tenure decision for either male or female faculty members.
Finding #10
Promotion to Full Professor

• No significant gender disparity existed at the stage of promotion to full professor.

• Women were proposed for promotion to full professor at approximately the same rates as they were represented among associate professors.
Finding #11
Time in Rank

- Time in rank as an assistant professor has increased over time for both men & women

Mean Years from PhD to Associate Prof.
Current Assoc Prof - Current Full Prof

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biology</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Eng</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elec Eng</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finding #12
Clock-stopping

- Stopping-the-clock did not affect the probability of promotion & tenure; but delayed it by about a 1½ years.
- Effect of stopping-the-clock is similar for men & women who stopped it
- Clock-stopping used by 19.7% of women assistant professors vs. 7.4% of men, and 10.2% of women associate professors vs. 6.4% of men
My Personal Opinion:
Good News and Bad News

• Good news - institutions are, on average, addressing most of the factors under their control
• Bad news - we still have a long way to go
  – Must treat this is a “systems” problem
  – System appears to have significant “friction”
  – “Nature of the profession” may be key underlying problem (i.e. years to tenure)
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Has the profession become unattractive to BOTH men and women, just differentially more unattractive to women?
For Additional Information:

- [www.nationalacademies.org](http://www.nationalacademies.org) (webcast of briefing)
- [www.nap.edu](http://www.nap.edu) (PDF of pre-publication)
- [www.nationalacademies.org/cwsem/](http://www.nationalacademies.org/cwsem/) (Committee on Women in Science, Engineering, and Medicine’s web site)
- [www.nationalacademies.org/cnstat/](http://www.nationalacademies.org/cnstat/) (Committee on National Statistics’ web site)